# Millennial Childbearing and the Recession 

## Appendix A

## Decomposition of the Difference between Two Rates

The analytic technique we used is called the decomposition of the difference between two rates. This technique is used when three conditions are met: (1) there has been a change in the rate of occurrence of some event overall between two points in time; (2) subgroups of the population exposed to the risk of the event exhibit substantially different rates at time 1; and (3) the composition of the population exposed to the risk of the event has shifted between time 1 and time 2 with respect to these subgroups of the population. Development of this technique emerged to examine differences in rates of job mobility across cities (Kitagawa 1955), differences in rates of labor force participation across time (Das Gupta 1978), and differences in infant mortality rates across race and ethnicity (Kim and Strobino 1984).

We used the technique because there has been a decline in birth rates between 2007 and 2012 for all American women ages 20 to 29 and for non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women (Martin et al. 2015). It is well-known that in virtually every population of women, those who are married have higher birth rates than those who are not. Between 2007 and 2012 the proportion of the population (overall and in the three racial and ethnic groups) that was married shrank (Martin et al. 2014). Therefore, we undertook to decompose the decline in overall birth rates between 2007 and 2012 into the component that was caused by the change in the proportion of the population that was married and the component that was caused by changes in the birth rates among married and unmarried women.

## Data

The data for our analysis comes from two sources. We used CDC Wonder to obtain (1) the number of births by marital status of the mother (currently married or not currently married) for all US women ages 20 to 29 and for non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women ageds20 to 29 in 2007 and 2012; and (2) the total number of women, overall and for the three racial and ethnic groups, that were ages 20 to 29 in 2007 and 2012. We used the 2007 and 2012 American Community Survey for our estimates of the
proportion of the population of women who were currently married overall and for the three racial and ethnic groups.

## Variables

Three variables are needed to conduct the decomposition. The first is the probability that a single woman ages 20 to 29 would have a birth in 2007 and in 2012. The second is the probability that a married woman ages 20 to 29 would have a birth in 2007 and 2012. The third is the proportion of the population that was married in 2007 and 2012. We calculated everything separately for ages 20 to 24 and 25 to 29. Tables A. 1 and A. 2 below contain the worksheets we used to calculate the probabilities that single and married women (respectively) had a birth in 2007 and 2012.

## Analysis

We used the method developed by Das Gupta (1978) in our analysis. Our goal was to break the change in the overall fertility rate of women age $x$ between time $T$ and time $t$ into three components: (1) the change in the proportion of women age $x$ married between time $T$ and time $t$ (we denote these proportions as $A / a$ ); (2) the change in the probability that a married women age $x$ would have a birth between time $T$ and time $t$ (we denote these probabilities as $B / b$ ); and (3) the change in the probability that an unmarried women age $x$ would have a birth between time $T$ and time $t$ (we denote these probabilities as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{c}$ ). For our analysis, $\mathrm{T}=2007$ and $\mathrm{t}=2012$. Table A. 3 has these components for ages 20 to 24 and ages 25 to 29 in 2007 and 2012.

To perform the decomposition, the overall fertility rates for all eight combinations of the proportion and the probabilities that exist must be calculated. For example, ABC gives the actual fertility rate in 2007, aBC gives the fertility rate that would occur if the proportion married had been that of 2012 and the marital and nonmarital birth rates were those of 2007, and so on. The values for these fertility rates are given in table A.4.

Finally, the formulas for calculating the contribution of the three factors ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C ) to the change in the overall fertility rate are as follows:

```
Contribution of factor A = 1/3\times(aBC-ABC) +1/6\times(abC-AbC) +1/6\times(aBc-Abc) + 1/3\times(abc-Abc)
Contribution of factor B = 1/3\times(AbC-ABC) + 1/6\times(abC-aBC) +1/6\times(Abc - ABc) + 1/3\times(abc-aBc)
Contribution of factor C = 1/3\times(ABc-ABC) +1/6\times(Abc-AbC) +1/6\times(aBc-aBC) +1/3\times(abc-abC)
```

TABLE A. 1
Worksheet for Calculation of Birth Probabilities for Marital and Nonmarital Births, 2007 and 2012, Women Ages 20-24.

| Column number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of women ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Proportion married ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number married $(1 \times 2)$ | Marital births ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Proportion unmarried ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number unmarried $(1 \times 5)$ | Nonmarital births ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Probability of a married birth (43) | Probability of a single birth (7ㅜ) |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10270163 | 0.1748 | 1794957 | 437801 | 0.8252 | 8475206 | 644553 | 0.2439 | 0.0761 |
| Latino | 1854566 | 0.2527 | 468730 | 117603 | 0.7473 | 1385836 | 187659 | 0.2509 | 0.1354 |
| White | 6245242 | 0.1804 | 1126529 | 266562 | 0.8196 | 5118713 | 259920 | 0.2366 | 0.0508 |
| Black | 1498025 | 0.0776 | 116221 | 29792 | 0.9224 | 1381804 | 170396 | 0.2563 | 0.1233 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11032109 | 0.1333 | 1470117 | 322917 | 0.8667 | 9561992 | 593894 | 0.2197 | 0.0621 |
| Latino | 2159533 | 0.1849 | 399205 | 80427 | 0.8151 | 1760328 | 160711 | 0.2015 | 0.0913 |
| White | 6380856 | 0.1405 | 896714 | 200841 | 0.8595 | 5484142 | 243459 | 0.2240 | 0.0444 |
| Black | 1731162 | 0.0539 | 93311 | 22146 | 0.9461 | 1637851 | 165342 | 0.2373 | 0.1010 |

Source: CDC Wonder; American Community Survey; and authors' own data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from CDC Wonder.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data from American Community Survey.

TABLE A. 2
Worksheet for Calculation of Birth Probabilities for Marital and Nonmarital Births, 2007 and 2012, Women Ages 25-29.

| Column number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of women ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Proportion married $^{\text {b }}$ | Number married $(1 \times 2)$ | Marital births ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Proportion unmarried $^{\text {b }}$ | Number unmarried $(1 \times 5)$ | Nonmarital births ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Probability of a married birth (4ㅜ) | Probability of a single birth (7ㅜ) |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10229259 | 0.4428 | 4529516 | 818923 | 0.5572 | 5699743 | 389485 | 0.1808 | 0.0683 |
| Latino | 1926410 | 0.4717 | 908688 | 160387 | 0.5283 | 1017722 | 127555 | 0.1765 | 0.1253 |
| White | 6194840 | 0.4874 | 3019365 | 534814 | 0.5126 | 3175475 | 141085 | 0.1771 | 0.0444 |
| Black | 1482405 | 0.3306 | 490083 | 55439 | 0.6694 | 992322 | 101863 | 0.1131 | 0.1027 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10549980 | 0.3762 | 3968902 | 393826 | 0.6238 | 6581078 | 393826 | 0.0992 | 0.0598 |
| Latino | 2039707 | 0.3923 | 800177 | 127372 | 0.6077 | 1239530 | 117102 | 0.1592 | 0.0945 |
| White | 6194840 | 0.4127 | 2556610 | 486917 | 0.5873 | 3638230 | 154460 | 0.1905 | 0.0425 |
| Black | 1482405 | 0.2993 | 443684 | 48160 | 0.7007 | 1038721 | 101489 | 0.1085 | 0.0977 |

Source: CDC Wonder; American Community Survey; and authors' own data.
Data from CDC Wonder
Data from American Community Survey.

TABLE A. 3
Values for the Three Components of Change in Overall Fertility by Race and Ethnicity

|  | 20 to 24 |  | 25 to 29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2012 | 2007 | 2012 |
| All Women |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | a | A | a |
| Proportion of women that were married | $\begin{gathered} 0.1748 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1333 \\ b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4428 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3762 \\ b \end{gathered}$ |
| Probability of a birth to an married woman | 0.2439 | 0.2197 | 0.1808 | 0.1839 |
|  | C | c | C | c |
| Probability of a birth to an unmarried woman | 0.0761 | 0.0621 | 0.0683 | 0.0598 |
| Non-Hispanic White Women |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | a | A | a |
| Proportion of women that were married | $\begin{gathered} 0.1804 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1405 \\ b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4874 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4127 \\ \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ |
| Probability of a birth to an married woman | 0.2366 | 0.2240 | 0.1801 | 0.1904 |
|  | C | c | C | c |
| Probability of a birth to an unmarried woman | 0.0508 | 0.0444 | 0.0452 | 0.0425 |
| Non-Hispanic Black Women |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | a | A | a |
| Proportion of women that were married | 0.0776 | 0.0539 | 0.2043 | 0.1741 |
|  | B | b | B | b |
| Probability of a birth to an married woman | 0.2563 | 0.2373 | 0.1892 | 0.1866 |
|  | C | C | C | c |
| Probability of a birth to an unmarried woman | 0.1233 | 0.1010 | 0.0892 | 0.0829 |
| Hispanic Women |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | a | A | a |
| Proportion of women that were married | 0.2527 | 0.1849 | 0.4717 | 0.3923 |
|  | B | b | B | b |
| Probability of a birth to an married woman | 0.2509 | 0.2015 | 0.1765 | 0.1592 |
|  | C | c | C | c |
| Probability of a birth to an unmarried woman | 0.1354 | 0.0913 | 0.1253 | 0.0945 |

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from CDC Wonder and American Community Survey.

## Fertility Rates Used in the Decomposition (per Individual)

| Rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All women |  | Non-Hispanic White Women |  | Non-Hispanic Black Women |  | Hispanic Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 |
| ABC (2007) | 0.5269 | 0.5907 | 0.4215 | 0.5548 | 0.6682 | 0.5482 | 0.8230 | 0.7474 |
| aBC | 0.4921 | 0.5532 | 0.3845 | 0.5044 | 0.6524 | 0.5332 | 0.7838 | 0.7270 |
| AbC | 0.5057 | 0.5977 | 0.4101 | 0.5800 | 0.6608 | 0.5456 | 0.7605 | 0.7065 |
| ABc | 0.4694 | 0.5670 | 0.3953 | 0.5478 | 0.5650 | 0.5230 | 0.6582 | 0.6658 |
| abC | 0.4759 | 0.5591 | 0.3756 | 0.5257 | 0.6473 | 0.5309 | 0.7381 | 0.6930 |
| aBc | 0.4317 | 0.5267 | 0.3570 | 0.4964 | 0.5466 | 0.5070 | 0.6040 | 0.6333 |
| Abc | 0.4482 | 0.5740 | 0.3839 | 0.5730 | 0.5577 | 0.5204 | 0.5957 | 0.6250 |
| abc (2012) | 0.4155 | 0.5327 | 0.3482 | 0.5177 | 0.5415 | 0.5048 | 0.5583 | 0.5993 |

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from CDC Wonder and American Community Survey.
Note: Row "ABC" shows the fertility rates at the 2007 values for proportion married (A), married birth rate (B), and unmarried birth rate (C). Row "abc" shows the fertility rates at the 2012 values for proportion married (a), married birth rate (b), and unmarried birth rate (c). Other rows show possible combinations of 2007 and 2012 values.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All rates are multiplied by five because a woman lives five years from 20 to 24 and from 25 to 29 .
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