

State-Level Estimates of Medicare Enrollment for Dual Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees

Kyle Caswell and Timothy Waidmann

May 2024

This document offers interested readers state-level statistics to supplement the county-level enrollment statistics, which are the focus of this project. Table 1 presents the percentage of duals enrolled in Medicare by type and state during December 2021, the same reference period represented by the county-level maps. Table 2 reports the corresponding number of enrollees, and table 3 reports the percentage point change in each of the states' Medicare enrollment types between January 2009 and December 2021. In these state-level tables, we report enrollment in Dual Special Needs Plans and Fully Integrated Dual Eligible Special Needs Plans (FIDE-SNPs) separately. Given the relatively small enrollment in FIDE-SNPs, this separation was not feasible at the county level.

NOTE ON DATA SUPPRESSION

In cases where there were too few enrollees to report per Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) policy (10 or fewer), we masked the results to meet the CMS Cell Size Suppression Policy. Specifically, in all cases where there are 10 or fewer enrollees for a Medicare enrollment category in a state (i.e., "cell"), we report "<11" for the number of enrollees, add the sum of all the small cells to the fee-for-service (FFS) group, and report the number of FFS enrollees with a greater than inequality (">"). Similarly, in these cases, the percentage of enrollees was calculated using the lower and upper bound enrollment estimates, and we report inequalities. Finally, the percentage point change is calculated using the upper and lower bound percentages.

TABLE 1

Percent of Dual Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees by Medicare Type and State, December 2021

State	FFS	MA, nonspecialized	D-SNP	FIDE- SNP	C-SNP	I-SNP	MMP	PACE
AL	35.0	20.3	42.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.1
AK	>98.9	0.6	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.0	<0.1
AZ	29.6	24.2	40.2	4.1	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0
AR	>46.2	22.3	26.0	<0.0	4.9	0.1	0.0	0.3
CA	59.5	21.5	8.5	0.8	1.5	0.1	7.1	0.6
CO	51.4	23.2	20.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	3.2
CT	42.5	23.1	32.4	0.0	0.1	1.7	0.0	0.0
DE	62.2	16.2	19.2	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.8
DC	>58.0	6.4	35.2	<0.0	<0.0	0.2	0.0	<0.0
FL	28.5	21.6	48.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.2
GA	35.2	19.8	35.9	0.0	7.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
HI	>26.2	17.2	56.4	0.0	<0.0	<0.0	0.0	<0.0
ID	>51.5	26.7	0.3	21.2	<0.0	0.1	0.0	<0.0
IL	52.0	25.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.8	21.5	0.0
IN	49.0	16.2	33.0	0.0	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.2
IA	>57.0	18.8	23.2	0.1	<0.0	0.2	0.0	0.6
KS	>57.9	23.2	17.3	<0.0	<0.0	0.6	0.0	0.8
KY	>52.0	18.5	28.8	<0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	<0.0
LA	>40.9	17.3	41.2	<0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2
ME	>52.2	19.7	27.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	<0.0
MD	79.9	9.8	8.3	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.1
MA	61.4	9.1	0.2	18.5	0.0	0.0	9.3	1.2
MI	52.0	15.1	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	11.5	1.1
MN	>53.2	13.1	5.6	27.8	<0.0	0.1	0.0	<0.0
MS	>53.4	19.1	27.1	0.0	<0.0	0.3	0.0	<0.0
MO	47.0	19.0	30.7	0.0	1.7	1.2	0.0	0.0
MT	>75.8	15.0	9.0	0.1	<0.0	0.0	0.0	<0.0
NE	>60.4	13.1	24.8	<0.0	<0.0	0.8	0.0	0.5
NV	52.5	36.5	8.6	0.0	1.8	0.3	0.0	0.0
NH	>72.4	26.1	0.3	0.2	<0.0	0.8	0.0	0.1
NJ	55.9	14.3	0.5	27.2	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.4
NM	45.5	37.2	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
NY	40.7	14.3	40.0	2.9	0.0	1.3	0.2	0.5
NC	46.2	18.8	32.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.5
ND	>82.1	14.6	0.4	0.5	<0.1	1.0	0.0	1.0
OH	36.0	15.5	27.3	0.0	0.0	1.2	19.6	0.1
OK	58.0	25.1	15.6	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.5
OR	>49.9	33.5	14.5	0.0	<0.0	1.1	0.0	1.0
PA	41.0	18.3	28.5	9.0	0.1	1.2	0.0	1.3
RI	>32.4	22.6	14.3	0.1	<0.0	3.0	26.7	0.6
SC	33.0	17.8	32.7	0.0	7.3	0.5	8.3	0.3
SD	>75.5	22.7	0.3	0.3	<0.1	1.0	0.0	<0.1
TN	31.2	21.3	46.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1
TX	34.4	19.3	38.5	0.0	2.2	0.4	4.8	0.1
UT	>49.2	29.3	20.5	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.0	<0.0
VT	>80.6	19.0	0.2	<0.0	<0.0	0.0	0.0	<0.0
VA	45.7	24.7	27.7	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.6
WA	49.7	14.6	34.0	0.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.5
WV	>54.9	18.7	25.3	0.0	<0.0	0.8	0.0	<0.0
WI	54.9	13.3	28.8	1.5	0.3	0.8	0.0	0.2
WY	>95.3	3.9	0.5	<0.1	0.0	<0.1	0.0	<0.1

Source: Authors' calculations using the 2021 Medicare Beneficiary Summary and Plan Characteristics files.

Notes: FFS = fee-for-service; MA = Medicare Advantage; D-SNP = Dual Special Needs Plan; FIDE-SNP = Fully Integrated Dual Eligible Special Needs Plan; C-SNP = Chronic Condition Special Needs Plan; I-SNP = Institutional Special Needs Plan; MMP = Medicare-Medicaid Plans; PACE = Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly.

TABLE 2

Dual Medicare-Medicaid Enrollment by Medicare Type and State, December 2021

State	FFS	MA, nonspecialized	D-SNP	FIDE- SNP	C-SNP	I-SNP	MMP	PACE
AL	77,868	45,332	95,399	19	27	3,534	0	169
AK	>19,890	111	62	12	<11	<11	0	<11
AZ	74,362	61,026	101,317	10,547	2,277	1,810	0	35
AR	>65,886	31,807	37,022	<11	6,954	192	0	415
CA	956,972	345,258	136,237	14,733	24,059	904	115,953	10,973
CO	73,336	33,215	29,259	37	45	2,276	0	4,556
CT	82,867	45,039	63,128	53	105	3,295	0	21
DE	20,884	5,476	6,456	31	48	420	0	256
DC	>21,109	2,318	12,804	<11	<11	63	0	<11
FL	268,814	203,403	456,554	394	6,111	5,230	0	2,306
GA	134,885	75,873	137,124	54	29,723	4,125	0	33
HI	>12,679	8,369	27,250	13	<11	<11	0	<11
ID	>27,277	14,204	174	11,213	<11	30	0	<11
IL	222,954	107,658	743	67	1,418	3,257	91,950	27
IN	112,449	37,538	75,965	59	129	2,711	0	438
IA	>52,833	17,491	21,585	58	<11	192	0	576
KS	>42,410	17,012	12,720	<11	<11	509	0	626
KY	>99,818	35,514	55,415	<11	84	652	0	<11
LA	>100,825	42,927	101,961	<11	381	177	0	404
ME	>47,890	18,086	25,557	25	38	214	0	<11
MD	133,032	16,433	14,017	50	99	2,615	0	160
MA	207,095	31,193	557	62,517	43	115	31,439	4,514
MI	186,798	54,500	72,343	44	47	398	41,411	3,884
MN	>78,567	19,332	8,354	41,221	<11	216	0	<11
MS	>88,184	31,632	44,841	13	<11	530	0	<11
MO	92,495	37,362	60,513	27	3,523	2,301	0	16
MT	>24,165	4,799	2,872	20	<11	13	0	<11
NE	>26,535	5,813	10,924	<11	<11	413	0	206
NV	42,379	29,476	6,923	36	1,553	230	0	12
NH	>27,372	9,882	97	91	<11	336	0	32
NJ	134,266	34,472	1,114	65,218	212	3,829	0	1,031
NM	49,052	40,052	18,038	24	11	21	0	475
NY	412,891	145,236	406,549	29,439	132	13,891	1,874	4,797
NC	161,336	65,988	114,920	81	86	4,357	0	1,909
ND	>12,965	2,324	65	78	<11	163	0	159
OH	149,075	64,148	113,051	68	164	4,859	81,149	509
OK	73,506	31,819	19,764	18	390	438	0	614
OR	>78,917	52,930	22,888	39	<11	1,771	0	1,510
PA	204,156	91,430	141,782	44,857	570	6,656	0	7,070
RI	>15,373	10,697	6,793	55	<11	1,481	12,700	310
SC	58,461	31,680	57,971	47	12,968	836	14,848	458
SD	>16,480	4,951	58	56	<11	221	0	<11
TN	86,530	59,339	127,396	1,795	35	1,537	0	278
TX	259,920	146,669	291,259	132	16,480	3,114	36,454	1,071
UT	>21,768	13,007	9,087	38	33	318	0	<11
VT	>22,894	5,388	45	<11	<11	14	0	<11
VA	93,466	50,512	56,597	701	466	1,385	0	1,441
WA	111,160	32,669	76,265	115	16	2,404	0	1,083
WV	>49,758	16,948	23,022	23	<11	784	0	<11
WI	104,970	25,369	55,259	2,777	658	1,520	0	476
WY	>11,386	468	60	<11	0	<11	0	<11

Source: Authors' calculations using the 2021 Medicare Beneficiary Summary File and the Plan Characteristics File.

Notes: FFS = fee-for-service; MA = Medicare Advantage; D-SNP = Dual Special Needs Plan; FIDE-SNP = Fully Integrated Dual Eligible Special Needs Plan; C-SNP = Chronic Condition Special Needs Plan; I-SNP = Institutional Special Needs Plan; MMP = Medicare-Medicaid Plans; PACE = Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly.

TABLE 3

Percentage Point Change in Dual Medicare-Medicaid Enrollment by Medicare Type and State, January 2009 to December 2021

State	FFS	MA, nonspecialized	D-SNP	FIDE- SNP	C-SNP	I-SNP	MMP	PACE
AL	-38.9	6.7	30.7	0.0	-0.3	1.6	0.0	0.1
AK	-0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
AZ	-16.0	9.5	1.7	4.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
AR	-39.4	12.6	23.7	0.0	2.7	0.1	0.0	0.3
CA	-24.2	16.1	-0.9	0.9	1.2	-0.8	7.2	0.5
CO	-22.6	11.3	11.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.8	0.0	1.4
CT	-44.8	16.3	28.5	0.0	-0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0
DE	-32.2	14.5	17.2	0.1	-0.4	0.2	0.0	0.7
DC	-31.7	2.4	30.4	0.0	-0.8	-0.4	0.0	0.0
FL	-41.4	4.8	35.9	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2
GA	-49.3	10.9	33.5	0.0	4.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
HI	-52.3	2.7	49.7	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
ID	-31.6	15.0	-4.5	21.2	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
IL	-41.3	21.1	-2.3	0.0	0.2	0.7	21.5	0.0
IN	-43.5	9.9	32.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.2
IA	-35.1	11.8	22.7	0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.6
KS	-35.5	17.5	17.0	0.0	-0.2	0.7	0.0	0.5
KY	-36.8	13.6	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
LA	-44.7	6.1	38.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
ME	-42.7	16.4	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
MD	-9.6	7.0	3.7	0.0	-0.4	-0.7	0.0	0.0
MA	-26.8	5.5	-5.7	18.5	-0.2	-1.2	9.3	0.5
MI	-41.3	10.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	-0.3	11.5	0.9
MN	-7.0	6.0	-27.0	27.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
MS	-38.3	14.2	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
MO	-44.1	12.4	29.7	0.0	0.8	1.2	0.0	-0.1
MT	-17.9	9.0	8.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NE	-34.0	8.4	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.4
NV	-25.3	15.6	7.4	0.0	1.9	0.3	0.0	0.0
NH	-25.6	24.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
NJ	-36.2	9.2	-2.0	27.2	0.1	1.4	0.0	0.4
NM	-38.9	25.2	13.9	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
NY	-35.6	2.9	29.7	2.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.1
NC	-40.8	10.3	30.1	0.0	-0.7	0.5	0.0	0.5
ND	-13.7	11.0	0.2	0.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.9
OH	-47.5	2.4	25.4	0.0	-0.3	0.4	19.6	-0.1
OK	-32.8	16.9	14.9	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.5
OR	-9.6	13.6	-4.7	0.0	-0.3	0.9	0.0	0.1
PA	-20.1	7.4	1.7	9.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0
RI	-40.2	10.0	3.7	0.1	-0.4	-0.3	26.8	0.3
SC	-50.7	7.8	31.8	0.0	2.3	0.5	8.4	0.0
SD	-20.9	19.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1
TN	-49.5	15.6	32.8	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
TX	-47.0	11.8	29.4	0.0	0.6	0.3	4.8	0.0
UT	-31.4	22.0	8.8	0.1	-0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0
VT	-17.4	17.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2
VA	-42.1	13.2	27.1	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.5
WA	-37.7	4.6	32.1	0.1	-0.2	0.8	0.0	0.3
WV	-34.2	8.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
WI	-31.9	6.8	23.4	1.5	0.2	0.3	0.0	-0.3
WY	-1.9	1.6	0.4	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

Source: Authors' calculations using the 2021 Medicare Beneficiary Summary File and the Plan Characteristics File.

Notes: FFS = fee-for-service; MA = Medicare Advantage; D-SNP = Dual Special Needs Plan; FIDE-SNP = Fully Integrated Dual Eligible Special Needs Plan; C-SNP = Chronic Condition Special Needs Plan; I-SNP = Institutional Special Needs Plan; MMP= Medicare-Medicaid Plans; PACE = Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Kyle Caswell is a principal research associate in the Health Policy Center at the Urban Institute, and Timothy Waidmann is a senior fellow in the Health Policy Center.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This summary was supported by Arnold Ventures. We are grateful to them and to all our funders, who make it possible for Urban to advance its mission. The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Urban Institute, its trustees, or its funders. Funders do not determine research findings or the insights and recommendations of Urban experts. Further information on the Urban Institute’s funding principles is available at urban.org/fundingprinciples. Copyright © May 2024. Urban Institute. Permission is granted for reproduction of this file, with attribution to the Urban Institute.