

**The IRA Conversion Provision in the 2006 Tax Reconciliation Bill:
Smoke and Mirrors**

Leonard E. Burman
Director, Tax Policy Center
Senior Fellow, The Urban Institute
Visiting Professor, Georgetown University

May 11, 2006

* The author thanks Jeffrey Rohaly for conducting the microsimulation modeling analysis and Joel Friedman and Jeffrey Rohaly for helpful discussions. Views expressed are the author's alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of any of the institutions with which he is affiliated.

The Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005, recently agreed to by House and Senate conferees, would extend the low tax rates on capital gains and dividends through 2010, grant temporary relief from the individual alternative minimum tax through 2006, and extend several expiring business tax breaks. Under the Senate's budget rules, the package must reduce federal tax revenues by no more than \$70 billion for it to be protected from a point of order or filibuster that would require 60 votes to override. To meet that revenue target while still including all of the tax cuts that Congressional leaders wanted, several tax increase provisions were also included in the package. One of the largest is the provision allowing taxpayers to convert IRA balances into so-called Roth IRAs. The Joint Committee on Taxation reckons that this provision would raise \$6.4 billion in revenues over the 10-year budget window. In fact, it would reduce federal revenues over the long term by much, much more than it raises in the short run. The Tax Policy Center estimates that on balance the provision would reduce net long-term federal revenues by \$16 billion in present value terms (that is, after accounting for the time value of money).

Background

Traditional and Roth IRAs are similar in that they are tax-free savings vehicles, but they differ in the way they provide the tax-exemption. Contributions to traditional IRAs are generally deductible; earnings accrue tax-free, but retirement withdrawals are fully taxable. (See appendix for more details.) In contrast, contributions to Roth IRAs are not tax deductible. (That is, they are made out of after-tax income.) Earnings accrue tax-free and qualifying withdrawals are exempt from income tax. Withdrawals from traditional IRAs must start by age 70 ½, at which point no further contributions may be made. Roth IRAs are not subject to these restrictions. Contributions may be made at any age and there are no minimum withdrawal requirements.

Taxpayers who are eligible for an employer-sponsored retirement plan or whose spouse is eligible may only make tax-deductible contributions to a traditional IRA if their incomes are below certain thresholds (see appendix). Higher-income taxpayers who are not eligible to make deductible contributions may make nondeductible contributions. Earnings, but not principal, on nondeductible IRA balances are subject to tax upon withdrawal.

Under current law, taxpayers with AGI under \$100,000 may convert balances in traditional IRAs into Roth IRAs. These conversions (sometimes called "rollovers") are subject to income tax as a qualifying distribution, but the conversion account becomes totally tax-free and subject to the more lax rules on distributions applicable to Roth IRAs.

The Reconciliation Bill would eliminate the income limit on Roth IRA conversions starting in 2010. Moreover, taxes owed on conversions occurring in 2010 can be paid in installments in 2011 and 2012.

Analysis

Many taxpayers with incomes over \$100,000 will want to take advantage of the conversion provision for several reasons. First, by converting a traditional IRA into a Roth IRA, the amount of tax-free savings increases substantially. To see why, consider a couple in the 25-percent tax bracket with \$100,000 in a traditional IRA. If their tax bracket does not change, they will have to pay 25 percent of the balance of the account in taxes upon withdrawal. Effectively, they have a \$75,000 tax-free account, and \$25,000 which, along with any future earnings, will be owed in tax. The \$100,000 traditional IRA is thus economically equivalent to a \$75,000 Roth IRA (because a Roth IRA is tax-free upon withdrawal). By converting the \$100,000 into a Roth IRA, the couple has increased their tax-free savings by 1/3 (\$25,000 over \$75,000). They are willing to pay the \$25,000 in tax now (out of taxable savings) to increase their tax-free savings by the same amount. Taxpayers in higher tax brackets would get an even larger benefit. For example, taxpayers in the 35-percent tax bracket could increase their tax-free savings by more than half (\$35,000 over \$65,000). Generally, high-income taxpayers who would like to have more tax-free savings than is permitted under current law (through 401(k)-type plans and pensions) would find this option very attractive. William Gale, Peter Orszag, and I have estimated that this aspect of Roth conversions gains the taxpayer (loses the Treasury) about \$1.30 in present value for every dollar converted.¹

Second, Roth IRAs have no withdrawal requirements, whereas withdrawals from traditional IRAs must start by age 70 ½. Thus, taxpayers can allow their money to stay in the Roth IRA much longer, accumulating more tax-free income. This is a very attractive option for taxpayers with sufficient non-retirement wealth that they do not need to draw on their retirement savings to finance their retirement.

Third, performing a conversion allows taxpayers to lock in current tax rates. If tax rates increase in the future (for example, to pay for the growing costs of entitlement programs), Roth IRAs will be exempt from the tax, whereas traditional IRAs will be taxed at prevailing rates. Even if taxpayers do not necessarily expect rates to increase, paying tax now eliminates uncertainty about the taxation of their retirement assets.

Fourth, older taxpayers may save on estate tax by converting their IRA balance because the tax payment reduces the value of the taxable estate.

In addition, the rollover provision is tantamount to eliminating the income limits on contributions to Roth IRAs for people under age 70 ½. Currently, taxpayers may not contribute to a Roth IRA if their incomes exceed \$160,000 (married filing jointly) or \$110,000 (single or head of household). However, they can circumvent these limits by making nondeductible contributions to a traditional IRA and then converting that IRA into a Roth IRA. Moreover, they can start making the maximum allowable contributions in 2006, expecting to convert the account balance in 2010. They would have to pay tax

¹ See Leonard E. Burman, William G. Gale, and Peter R. Orszag, "The Administration's New Tax-Free Saving Proposals: A Preliminary Analysis," *Tax Notes*, March 3, 2003, available at: <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/publications/template.cfm?PubID=1000469>.

on any earnings in the account, but the converted balance would be a tax-free Roth account from that point hence. A high-income married couple could expect to shelter more than \$36,000 in savings this way (\$44,000 if they are over age 50).²

After 2010, they can make the maximum contribution to a nondeductible IRA every year and then immediately convert it into a Roth IRA, effectively circumventing the income limits via this convoluted process. Presumably financial institutions that offer IRAs will try to streamline this process. Another likely outcome is that Congress would decide to eliminate the income limits for Roth IRAs altogether on the grounds that it would be a low-cost simplification measure.³

Short- and Long-Term Effects on Revenues

Table 1 illustrates the short- and long-term pattern of tax revenues from the conversion proposal. The estimates were calibrated to be roughly consistent with the official 10-year budget estimates produced by Congress's Joint Committee on Taxation. As intended, the proposal would increase federal tax receipts in the budget window, although it loses revenue in the first 5 years. The estimated \$530 billion revenue loss through 2010 arises because some high-income taxpayers elect to transfer savings into nondeductible IRAs. The IRS loses the tax that would otherwise have been paid on the taxable accounts. In calendar years 2011 and 2012, the Treasury gains the tax due on all of the rollovers. Thus, from fiscal years 2006 to 2015, revenues increase by \$6.5 billion.

The Treasury starts losing revenue in fiscal year 2014, however. The losses stem from several sources. First, the taxable withdrawals from the traditional accounts that would have occurred disappear. Second, to the extent that taxpayers cashed in taxable investments to pay the rollover tax in 2011 and 2012, the tax base is reduced for a very long time. The combined effect of these two factors is a revenue loss that grows until many of the taxpayers who take the rollover have died. By our estimates, the revenue loss grows in nominal terms until 2046. In present value, the government loses over \$4 billion due to the conversions from existing IRAs, even though the provision *appears* to raise \$8.6 billion in the budget window.

The losses from contributions through nondeductible IRAs are even more substantial. Effectively eliminating the income limits for Roth IRA contributions results in a present value revenue loss of over \$10 billion through 2049 (and more thereafter). The revenue losses would be significantly greater if the high IRA contribution limits, enacted as part of the 2001 tax cut package, are made permanent as the President has proposed.

On balance, this “*revenue raiser*” actually reduces tax revenues by over \$14 billion over the long term. The revenue losses, which grow until 2046, are exceedingly poorly timed. They reduce federal revenues at the same time that the baby boomers are aging, placing

² They can each contribute \$4,000 per year in 2006 and 2007 and \$5,000 per year in 2008 and 2009. The contribution limits are \$1,000 higher if they are age 50 or over. (See appendix.)

³ It is worth noting, however, that taking this additional step would help high-income taxpayers too old to contribute to a traditional IRA.

greater and greater demands on the federal government. Effectively, this proposal would place a large and growing portion of the tax base off limits to tax collectors just when our children and grandchildren will most need tax revenues.

Finally, it should be noted that these revenue estimates may turn out to be wildly optimistic. They assume that only a fraction of the assets that could be converted into Roth IRAs actually is converted. Moreover, they do not account for possible future increases in tax rates.

Some proponents of the tax bill point out that budget gimmicks are the norm for both parties. However, this specific gimmick is particularly insidious. It would have large and damaging effects on the federal budget for decades to come.

Table 1.
Short- and Long-Term Revenue Effect from Roth Conversion Provision in
2006 Tax Reconciliation Agreement

2007 to 2049

Year	Revenue Change (\$Millions)					
	Conversions of Existing Accounts		Contributions Through Nondeductible Accounts		Total	
	Calendar	Fiscal	Calendar	Fiscal	Calendar	Fiscal
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	0	0	-64	-32	-64	-32
2008	0	0	-131	-97	-131	-97
2009	0	0	-200	-166	-200	-166
2010	0	0	-270	-235	-270	-235
2011	4,648	2,324	-155	-213	4,493	2,111
2012	4,632	4,640	-182	-168	4,451	4,472
2013	-249	2,192	-417	-299	-666	1,893
2014	-269	-259	-460	-439	-730	-698
2015	-292	-281	-511	-486	-803	-766
2016	-307	-300	-565	-538	-872	-838
2017	-334	-321	-619	-592	-953	-913
2018	-357	-346	-673	-646	-1,030	-991
2019	-397	-377	-732	-702	-1,130	-1,080
2020	-436	-417	-782	-757	-1,218	-1,174
2021	-492	-464	-838	-810	-1,331	-1,274
2022	-563	-527	-900	-869	-1,463	-1,397
2023	-683	-623	-964	-932	-1,647	-1,555
2024	-752	-718	-1,026	-995	-1,778	-1,712
2025	-846	-799	-1,088	-1,057	-1,934	-1,856
2026	-1,007	-926	-1,148	-1,118	-2,155	-2,044
2027	-1,129	-1,068	-1,197	-1,172	-2,326	-2,240
2028	-1,228	-1,179	-1,248	-1,222	-2,476	-2,401
2029	-1,349	-1,289	-1,311	-1,279	-2,660	-2,568
2030	-1,464	-1,406	-1,367	-1,339	-2,830	-2,745
2031	-1,614	-1,539	-1,424	-1,395	-3,039	-2,934
2032	-1,825	-1,720	-1,465	-1,444	-3,290	-3,164
2033	-2,015	-1,920	-1,473	-1,469	-3,488	-3,389
2034	-2,208	-2,111	-1,502	-1,487	-3,710	-3,599
2035	-2,414	-2,311	-1,535	-1,518	-3,948	-3,829
2036	-2,592	-2,503	-1,591	-1,563	-4,183	-4,066
2037	-2,683	-2,637	-1,620	-1,606	-4,303	-4,243
2038	-2,636	-2,659	-1,651	-1,636	-4,287	-4,295
2039	-2,811	-2,723	-1,677	-1,664	-4,488	-4,387
2040	-2,902	-2,856	-1,702	-1,689	-4,604	-4,546
2041	-2,842	-2,872	-1,719	-1,710	-4,561	-4,583
2042	-2,914	-2,878	-1,748	-1,734	-4,663	-4,612
2043	-2,976	-2,945	-1,777	-1,763	-4,753	-4,708
2044	-3,053	-3,014	-1,779	-1,778	-4,832	-4,792
2045	-3,196	-3,124	-1,792	-1,786	-4,987	-4,910
2046	-3,208	-3,202	-1,794	-1,793	-5,003	-4,995
2047	-3,006	-3,107	-1,680	-1,737	-4,685	-4,844
2048	-2,872	-2,939	-1,707	-1,694	-4,579	-4,632
2049	-2,698	-2,785	-1,705	-1,706	-4,403	-4,491
2006-2010	0	0	-665	-530	-665	-530
2006-2015	8,470	8,616	-2,391	-2,135	6,080	6,481
2006-2049	-53,337	-51,988	-48,190	-47,337	-101,526	-99,325
Net Present Value	-4,314	-4,094	-10,668	-10,304	-14,982	-14,398

Source: Tax Policy Center

Very Preliminary

[See next page for assumptions.]

Assumptions for Table 1

1. Rate of return on all forms of savings is 6 percent
2. Traditional IRA would be withdrawn over 15 years starting at age 70
3. Roth IRA is also held for 15 years from age 70 either by owner or heir
4. Contributions through nondeductible accounts assume equal contributions start in 2007, the accumulated balance is rolled over 1/1/2010, and annually thereafter until age 70; calibrated to match roughly JCT estimates through 2015
5. IRA contribution limits return to \$2,000 per year in 2011. If the President's tax cuts are extended, the revenue loss would be much greater after 2010.
6. Tax rate remains constant over course of life (also tends to understate revenue loss)
7. Table does not show the effect on estate tax receipts (which would be negative)

Sources of Revenue Change

1. Rollovers are taxed in 2011 and 2012 (raising revenue in the short-run)
2. Money used to pay the tax on conversions comes out of a taxable savings account (losing revenue)
3. Withdrawals from the traditional IRA would be taxable, starting at age 70 (losing revenue)
4. Contributions to nondeductible IRAs come out of taxable account (losing revenue)

Revised to make conversions consistent with latest JCT estimate and add effect of contributions through nondeductible accounts, 5/10/06

Appendix. Summary of IRA Rules

There are two types of individual retirement account (IRA): traditional and Roth. Traditional IRAs may also be set up to receive tax-free rollover distributions from another IRA or from an employer plan. These accounts are sometimes called Rollover IRAs.

The maximum total annual contribution to all IRAs (not counting rollovers) is the lesser of \$4,000 or compensation (wages and salaries plus sole-proprietorship income). The limit increases to \$5,000 for individuals age 50 and over. In 2008, the contribution limits will increase to \$5,000 (\$6,000 for individuals age 50 and over). In 2011, however, the limits revert to \$2,000—the level that applied before the 2001 tax legislation was enacted.

Traditional IRA

Qualifying individuals under the age of 70 ½ may make deductible contributions to a traditional IRA. Eligibility for deductible IRA contributions phases out with income for taxpayers with access to an employer-sponsored plan. In 2006, the phaseout range is from \$75,000 to \$85,000 for married filing joint returns, \$50,000 to \$60,000 for singles and heads of household, and 0 to \$10,000 for married filing separate. In 2007, the phaseout range for married filing joint returns will increase to \$80,000 to \$100,000. The phaseout range for a married filing joint taxpayer without access to an employer plan whose spouse is covered by an employer plan is \$150,000-\$160,000. Neither the income thresholds nor the maximum contribution amounts are indexed for inflation. Taxpayers who are not eligible for a tax deduction may make nondeductible contributions up to the contribution limits.

Withdrawals that correspond to deductible contributions are subject to income tax; withdrawals from nondeductible contributions are only taxable on the earnings in the account (not the original nondeductible contribution). Withdrawals must begin by age 70½; the size of the minimum distribution depends on the taxpayer's life expectancy (and, in some case, a beneficiary's life expectancy). Failure to withdraw the minimum invokes a 50-percent penalty on the difference between the minimum required distribution and the actual distribution. Distributions taken before age 59 ½ may be subject to a 10-percent penalty. This penalty does not apply in certain circumstances, including: 1) the withdrawal is used by unemployed individuals (receiving unemployment compensation for 12 weeks) to pay medical insurance premiums, 2) the withdrawal is used to pay for higher education expenses (including books, fees, and supplies) of a dependent, spouse, or grandchild, or 3) the withdrawal (up to \$10,000) is used to buy a first-time primary residence. IRA holders may convert all or part of their balance into an annuity at any age. Annuity payments are generally subject to income tax.

Roth IRA

The Roth IRA is different from the traditional IRA in several respects, the most important being deductibility rules and the absence of minimum withdrawal requirements.

Moreover, there is no age limit for contribution to a Roth IRA, and income limits are different than for a traditional IRA. The maximum contribution to a Roth IRA phases out between \$95,000 and \$110,000 of AGI for single and head of household returns, between \$150,000 and \$160,000 for married filing joint returns, and between \$0 to \$10,000 for married filing separate returns.

Contributions to a Roth IRA are not deductible, but qualified distributions are tax-free. In general, a withdrawal is a qualified distribution if taken at least five years after the initial contribution and the account owner reaches age 59 ½, is disabled, or spends the proceeds to purchase a primary residence (subject to the same rules as apply to a traditional IRA). In addition, withdrawals made by a beneficiary upon death are not subject to the penalty. Other withdrawals are subject to a 10-percent penalty.